

The Repair Paradigm: New Algorithms and Applications to Compressible Flow

*Raphaël Loubère, Martin Staley, and
Burton Wendroff (T-7)*

A critical part of Lagrangian-based methods for Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) is the ability to remap or interpolate data from one computational mesh to another. This is the case for the popular ALE schemes that perform Lagrangian steps followed by remaps to fixed grids. Remapping is also essential for pure Lagrangian methods, since they can lead to tangled grids that must then be untangled with a concomitant remap step. Even if the basic scheme produces only physically meaningful quantities, a remapping method can create out-of-bounds quantities such as negative densities or pressures. In some CFD codes, the offending values are simply set to a small positive number when this occurs, at which point mass or total energy is no longer conserved. Although in most instances the error thereby created is negligible, we have shown that in at least one example the error is significant.

By taking great care with the remapping in the CFD context, it is possible to maintain positive mass density. This is done by first extending the given mean densities in each original cell to the whole domain so that the new distribution is everywhere positive, and then computing new mean values by exact integration over the cells of the new grid. Total energy can be remapped in this way, but then there is no guarantee that internal energy will be positive. Furthermore, in more than one dimension, exact integration is computationally intensive.

Another context in which nonphysical data can occur is in divergence-free advection of a concentration that must retain values between zero and one. High quality advection

schemes, some of which are based on remapping ideas [1, 2], unavoidably have this fault [3].

The goal in this work is to improve upon and apply the repair idea introduced in [4, 5]. A repair method can be viewed as a way to correct values on a discrete mesh by redistributing the conserved quantity so that conservation and a maximum principle are preserved. The maximum principle is that new values should obey certain upper and lower bounds obtained from old values. In this way not only are nonphysical quantities eliminated, but oscillations are reduced (albeit not necessarily eliminated). We therefore seek repair algorithms that can be applied to CFD problems, advection problems, or other situations where values of a discrete variable must be placed in bounds without violating a conservation law and without introducing significant errors into the dynamics.

Repair methods can be used for many kinds of variables, including density, velocity, energy, pressure, and concentration, but we will henceforth call our variable to be repaired a density ρ , or equivalently, a mass m . If we denote old cells by c and new cell by \tilde{c} , then the quantity to be conserved is the total mass $m = \sum_c m(c) = \sum_c \rho(c) V(c)$, where $m(c)$, $\rho(c)$, and $V(c)$ denote the mass, density, and volume, respectively, of cell c .

Consider an old mesh M with cell-averaged densities (called old densities), and a new mesh \tilde{M} with remapped cell-averaged densities (called new densities). The connectivity is the same for the old and new grids.

Typically the new mesh is a small perturbation of the old grid. If we define the *bound* neighborhood $N(c)$ of a cell c as a patch of surrounding cells, we can define maximum and minimum density bounds as $\rho_+(c) = \max_{s \in N(c)} \rho(s)$ and $\rho_-(c) = \min_{s \in N(c)} \rho(s)$. (There are, of course, other reasonable ways to define density bounds.) No matter how the bounds are defined, there is a feasibility condition for repair to work at all.

FEASIBILITY: The total mass m must not exceed (resp. be below) the total upper bound mass (resp. the total lower bound mass), that




Figure 1—
Interaction of two
blastwaves in a box.
Initially, two half disks
centered at $x = (\pm 0.5, 0)$
have a high energy com-
pared to the interior of
the box— $t = 0.3$ and
 $t = 0.8$.

is, the total mass if each new cell were at its upper (resp. lower) bound.

If a remapping process produces negative densities $\rho(\tilde{c})$, or more generally produces out-of-bounds densities, then a repair step must be done to make these densities obey their bounds. The properties to be fulfilled by a repair method are:

Conservation:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_c m(c) &= \Sigma_c \rho(c) V(c) = \Sigma_c \rho(\tilde{c}) V(\tilde{c}) \\ &= \Sigma_c m(\tilde{c}).\end{aligned}$$

Maximum principle:

$$\forall c, \rho_-(c) \leq \rho(\tilde{c}) \leq \rho_+(c).$$

In this work we first reviewed a local repair method [5] which repairs out-of-bounds values and distributes the mass discrepancies locally. This method can produce different results depending on the order in which cells are visited, and it is therefore called order-dependent. Next we reviewed a simple global repair process [4] which repairs out-of-bounds values and distributes the resulting mass discrepancy across the entire grid. Then, we introduced two order-independent local methods, only one of which is well suited for parallelization. The idea of this method is to repair as many cells as possible with a local treatment: first the upper bounds, then the lower bounds, with an iterative process.

Then, if some cells are still out-of-bounds, a global treatment is provided to fix them.

Numerical tests were performed to show the effects of such methods on advection and hydrodynamics problems like the double nonsymmetric blastwave solved with the ALE INC. code (see the article “An Arbitrary-Lagrangian-Eulerian Code for Polygonal Mesh: ALE INC(ubator)” in this volume on page 118) where the repair method [6] is necessary for the code to produce a physically meaningful solution (see Fig. 1).

- [1] P. Colella, *J. Comp. Phys.*, **87** (1990) 171–200.
- [2] J.K. Dukowicz and J.R. Baumgardner, *J. Comp. Phys.*, **160** (2000), 318–335.
- [3] A. Oliveira and A.B. Fortunato, *J. Comp. Phys.*, **183** (2002), 142–164.
- [4] M. Shashkov and B. Wendroff, *J. Comp. Phys.*, **198** (2004) 265–277.
- [5] M. Kucharich, M. Shashkov, and B. Wendroff, *J. Comp. Phys.*, **188** (2003) 462–471.
- [6] R. Loubere, M. Staley, and B. Wendroff, “The Repair Paradigm: New Algorithms and Applications to Compressible Flow, Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR-04-7095 (October 2004), submitted to *J. Comp. Phys.*

For more information, contact
Raphaël Loubère (loubere@lanl.gov).

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge NNSA's Advanced Simulation and Computing (ASC), Advanced Applications Program, for financial support.